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Purpose of review
Increased use of advanced life-sustaining measures in patients with poor long-term expectations secondary to more chronic organ dysfunctions, comorbidities and/or a poor quality of life has become a worrying trend over the last decade. This can lead to futile, disproportionate or inappropriate care in the ICU. This review summarizes the causes and consequences of disproportionate care in the ICU.

Recent findings
Disproportionate care seems to be common in European and North American ICUs. The initiation and prolongation of disproportionate care can be related to hospital facilities, healthcare providers, the patient and his/her representatives and society. This can have serious consequences for patients, their relatives, physicians, nurses and society.

Summary
Disproportionate care is common in western ICUs. It can lead to violation of basic bioethical principles, suffering of patients and relatives and compassion fatigue and moral distress in healthcare providers. Avoiding inappropriate use of ICU resources and disproportionate care in the ICU should have high priority for ICU managers but also for every healthcare provider taking care of patients at the bedside.
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INTRODUCTION
In intensive care medicine, patients are treated who are suffering from acute and life-threatening conditions resulting from acute dysfunction or failure of one or more vital organs. However, increased use of advanced life-sustaining measures in patients with poor long-term expectations secondary to more chronic organ dysfunctions, comorbidities and/or a poor quality of life has become a worrying trend over the last decade [1–5]. This can lead to futile, disproportionate or inappropriate care in the ICU. Seventy-three percent of European ICU physicians and 87% of Canadian ICU physicians declare that they frequently admit patients with unrealistic perspectives [6,7]. In another study, 27% of a total of 1651 interviewed ICU physicians and nurses declared that they had to treat at least one patient with unrealistic perspectives [6,7]. In another study, 27% of a total of 1651 interviewed ICU physicians and nurses declared that they had to treat at least one patient who received disproportionate care on the day of the study [8]. Furthermore, 60% indicated that similar situations were rather common in their units.

In addition, nowadays, spontaneous death has become rare in the ICU because of the use of technical life-sustaining measures, and most patients (in some European countries over 85%) die only when the ICU physician, in consultation with all parties concerned, takes the decision to withdraw life-sustaining measures [9–13]. Different legal, ethical and cultural frameworks that prevail in different countries, together with more in-depth individual psychological factors in physicians and nurses [14], often lead to postpone end of life decision-making [15,16]. This can result in unnecessary suffering by patients and relatives [17,18], staff–family and staff–staff conflicts [19], compassion fatigue, avoidance behaviour and burnout among physicians and nurses [20–22,23**,24**,25,26**] or high staff turnover [8]. In view of the high cost of ICU medicine,
this also entails significant financial implications for society [27,28].

DISPROPORTIONATE CARE IN THE ICU

We define inappropriate care in the ICU as ‘not proper, untimely’ use of all medical possibilities. Disproportionate care in the ICU not only as ‘excessive, too much or more than enough’, but also as ‘not enough’ and medical futility, all fall under our definition of inappropriate care in the ICU.

CAUSES OF DISPROPORTIONATE CARE IN THE ICU

The initiation or prolongation of disproportionate care in the ICU can be related to the following:

1. hospital facilities;
2. physicians and nurses;
3. the patient and his/her representatives;
4. society.

Related to hospital facilities

Sometimes, admission of patients in the ICU can be judged as inappropriate. Admission of patients who only require monitoring of vital signs can be judged as ‘too much’ in the sense of overuse or out of balance. These patients do not require ICU but need some more care and monitoring than provided in the regular ward. In some studies, this category of patients account for more than 20% [29]. Oxygen saturation and ECG monitoring can easily be facilitated on regular hospital wards or medium care units. Admitting such patients to high-level ICUs can be judged as inappropriate, mostly in relation to costs. Hospital executives are facing increasing pressure to reduce operating costs. This can be reached by reducing ‘waste’ in clinical care. Waste can be considered as any activity or resource in an organization that does not add value to an external customer [30]. One component of ‘waste’ is the inappropriate use of ICU beds and resources. The patient’s and his/her relative’s time and efforts are wasted, caregiver’s time is wasted because he/she must provide care that could have been avoided or administrated to another patient, and the hospital experiences waste as it incurs the added expense of this unnecessary care.

In a recent study, Almoosa et al. [31] identified that a significant proportion of ICU days may be unnecessary, and therefore contribute to ‘waste’. This was mainly due to delays in end-of-life decision-making and in discharge to the wards. It is mainly the responsibility of hospital executives to reduce costs and use of resources if this is not proportionate, although each individual healthcare provider may contribute to these efforts.

Related to physicians and nurses

It is sometimes difficult for the ICU physicians to resist the impulse for trying to rescue even the mortally ill or to decline ICU admission of patients with poor expectations against the will of referring physicians or relatives. The disproportionate deployment of highly skilled ICU physicians and ICU nurses and the use of sophisticated technology are usually explained on grounds that prognosis is uncertain. Important to note is that prognostic uncertainty is more often used by physicians to continue disproportional care than other healthcare providers [6] who perceive physicians’ uncertainty as an alibi to postpone important decisions and honest, but also difficult, communication with the relatives. However, these admissions often result only in a brief reprieve or in prolonging life that is already compromised with regard to its quality. Furthermore, inexperienced junior doctors will in doubt admit patients with grim prognosis. In one study, this accounts for 10% of all patients admitted to an ICU [29]. The use of ICU resources and care in the ICU can in these cases be judged as disproportionate.

Physician–nurse interactions and conflicts

Conflicts in the ICU are common [19] and are mostly associated with the decision-making process and with withholding and withdrawing life-sustaining ICU measures. Delivery of futile care and communication of unrealistic prospects to patients and their families have been shown to be the principal causes of moral distress in ICU nurses [8,32,33]. One study shows that conflicts between staff and
patients’ relatives and conflicts between staff members were identified in 48% on both sides. However, conflicts between nurses were rarely reported [34]. Effective nurse–physician collaboration is associated with significantly better clinical outcomes and lower disproportionate care in the ICU [35].

Limited autonomy and problematic interdisciplinary collaboration may inhibit nurses’ ability to apply personal and professional moral reasoning, which can lead to compassion fatigue and moral distress [26**]. In the case of disproportionate care in the ICU, nurses may perceive that the ability to exercise autonomy and moral values is limited. To care for patients who, in the perception of nurses, have no prospect of survival, can be extremely morally distressing, and can lead to compassion fatigue and burnout. It is noted that nurses think that information given by them eases relatives’ worries, but they feel that in order to avoid conflicts with physicians they should restrain themselves from providing it [25,36,37]. On the contrary, critical care nurses have a significant responsibility in the care of patients and families of patients having withdrawn life support [38–42].

Related to patients and relatives

Sometimes, the relatives of patients who are too sick to benefit from admission to an ICU are willing to let the patient undergo invasive life-sustaining measures causing additional suffering for the patient. They can even be resistant to assent to withdrawal of these measures [23**]. Rationing and triage are crucial, but uncommon in American ICUs. It is unusual to refuse admission, even in end-stage illness [43]. Legal, economic, ethical and religious factors may add to the complexity of the situation. Sometimes, relatives refuse to come to terms with the grim patient’s condition, demanding disproportionate aggressive care, impeding proper management of the case [44]. Families of patients who die after withdrawal of life-sustaining ICU measures are in unique circumstances; there is usually short time to prepare for the death of the loved one, giving rise to resistance. To avoid conflicts or legal consequences, physicians and nurses are willing to prolong intensive care against their will, resulting in waste of time, efforts and resources and unnecessary suffering of the patient.

Much has to do with honest, transparent and compassionate communication prior to the decision to forego further life-sustaining measures [45,46]. Conflicts between physicians and nurses and relatives of the patient often are based on cultural and religious traditions [47]. They can negatively affect the quality of decision-making and patient care, as well as the satisfaction of all the parties involved [34].

Related to society

Death as well as birth is a social necessity. Death is also inevitable. It is partly to blame the medical profession that the general public think this is not only the case [48] but also society itself is to blame. Birth is seen as a happy, death as a sad event. This is why religions think in terms of rebirth after death. In a time of human enhancement and technology-based interventions in the human body, society gladly believes in the illusion that medicine can do anything. Some patients are overoptimistic and concentrate on life at all costs rather than facing death [49]. Similarly, doctors are overoptimistic in their prognostication [50] and neglect to start advance care planning conversations in order not to be faced with their own emotions and discomfort to talk about death [51]. Intensive care medicine is good in keeping patients alive who would quite certainly have died in the natural course of events. Almost half of all patients who are treated in intensive care have end-stage disease and multiple morbidities [52,53]. Society expects that even individuals with multiple morbidities at the end of their physical life would be treated in our ICUs. One in five North Americans die using ICU services, many of them aged over the age of 65 [54]. This has its price. Terminal ICU hospitalizations are more expensive than non-ICU terminal hospitalizations. These admissions can be seen as a disproportionate use of ICU resources.

We must consider a cultural shift if we want to improve care near the end of life and safe costs of dying in the ICU. This requires rationing and more effective advance care planning that seems to be difficult in a high technological environment.

CONSEQUENCES OF THE PROLONGATION OF INAPPROPRIATE CARE IN THE ICU

Initiation and prolongation of disproportionate care in the ICU can lead to the following:

(1) violation of basal ethical values;
(2) patients’ and relatives’ suffering;
(3) moral distress, avoidance behaviour; and
(4) compassion fatigue in physicians and nurses.

Ethics violation

Initiation and prolongation of disproportionate use of ICU sources can lead to harm, injury and
injustice, and thus to violation of ethical principles. Harm includes causing physical harm, pain and disability, mental harm and setbacks in interests. Most patients in ICU are nonautonomous, and most physicians treat them as nonautonomous, not seeking for patient’s consent and values before admission [14]. The ethical principle of nonmaleficence requires intentionally refraining from actions that cause harm. However, when inappropriate care in the ICU leads to harm, this is seldom intentionally or consciously caused. Physicians and nurses ought not to inflict harm, they should prevent harm, remove harm and promote the good. But, in patient care, they seldom have the perception that they cause harm, even when others judge the use of ICU resources as inappropriate. Some state that their intention is to help patients, but obligations not to harm others are clearly distinct from obligations to help others. Generally, obligations of nonmaleficence are more stringent than obligations of beneficence.

Disproportionate care in the ICU can give rise to injustice, referring to fair, equitable and appropriate distribution of scarce resources in society. Problems of distributive justice arise under conditions of scarcity and competition, as a consequence of prolongation of disproportionate use of scarce and costly ICU resources.

Patients’ and relatives’ suffering

Disproportionate care can lead to suffering of patients and their relatives. Many terminally ill patients do not wish to postpone their death [55,56] and wish to remain in control in order to complete things [57]. ICU admission means loss of that control and ignoring people’s need to say goodbye to their loved ones. Furthermore, relatives of ICU patients are at high risk for anxiety and depressive conditions, including acute stress disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder and complicated grief [58,59]. Risk factors for these conditions are, among others, female sex, having younger relatives and a lower educational level. On the contrary, early palliative care has been associated with improved patient and family’s well being [17] and even with improved survival in a non-ICU setting [60].

Moral distress and avoidance behaviour in critical care nurses and physicians

Moral distress arises when individuals perceive constraints that prevent action in accordance with moral choice [25,61,62]. Most moral distress in ICU nurses and physicians is related to situations during end of life of patients [22,24]. Coping mechanisms to mitigate the effects of moral distress are necessary to enhance job satisfaction and retention and to avoid compassion fatigue and burnout to occur. Nurses experience conflict regarding these decisions, yet are expected to implement actions they perceive as morally wrong [23,33,63,64]. Some studies show that moral distress escalates with time [25]. As such, moral distress may cause feelings of frustration and depression and may ultimately lead to burnout or job leave.

Providing care perceived as disproportionate does not only have impact on nurses’ well being, but may also lower the quality of patients’ care. It may lead them to avoid patients or use distancing (including depersonalization) as a negative coping strategy [23,32,65,66,67]. It is also noted that nurses think that information given by them eases relatives’ worries, but they feel that in order to avoid conflicts with physicians they should restrain themselves from providing it [25,36,37]. Additionally, critical care nurses have a significant responsibility in the care of patients and families of patients having withdrawn life support [38–42].

ICU nurses describe negative consequences of moral distress for themselves, patients and families [24]. Avoidance behaviour is the absence of verbal, physical or social contact with patients. Many gradations exist [66]. Avoidance behaviour is however often very subtle in daily practice; for instance not entering the room of a patient with a grim prognosis because of ‘so-called’ other priorities, or entering the room, but, without discussing the patient’s fears and wishes or even entering the room in order to discuss therapeutic measures that are unlikely to change the patient’s course. These are all strategies in order not to be confronted with our powerlessness and own fears as healthcare providers [66]. Nurses’ avoidance behaviour has frequently been reported and is associated with repeated exposure to morally distressing situations [23,35]. As such, it is essential that moral distress in relation with disproportionate use of ICU resources be acknowledged and examined.

Compassion fatigue

Compassion fatigue is a state of emotional, physical, social and spiritual exhaustion from witnessing the suffering of others leaving the individual fatigued, overwhelmed, helpless and hopeless about one’s situation of life, causing a pervasive decline in the person’s desire, ability and energy to feel and care for others [67]. Compassion fatigue in professionals is referred to as the ‘cost of caring’, and is mostly studied in acute responders such as fire fighters,
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

D.D.B. received a senior clinical investigators grant from the Flemish Research Foundation, Belgium. E.J.O.K. and R.D.P. declared no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Pages of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

■ of special interest

■■ of outstanding interest


